SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/11 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lansing State: MI

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$19,380,805 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (| Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 20 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.31 % | 89.95 % | 13 | 92.63 % | 47 | 49 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 89.20 % | 83.88 % | 8 | 86.67 % | 64 | 62 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 3.2 | 3.3 | 7 | 5.03 | 40 | 35 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 96.50 % | 1 | 88.14 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 91.23 % | 76.51 % | 4 | 76.19 % | 77 | 80 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 87.95 % | 86.75 % | 7 | 81.48 % | 56 | 62 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 59.84 % | 51.99 % | 6 | 45.62 % | 69 | 75 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.80 % | 97.05 % | 8 | 96.17 % | 44 | 46 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 3 / 20 | Nation | nally: 85 | 88 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | d Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$16,297 | \$28,394 | | \$28,750 | 249 Units | 26.40 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$12,170 | \$17,762 | | \$15,714 | 495 Units | 52.40 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$20,982 | \$22,901 | | \$21,140 | 200 Units | 21.20 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$5,452 | | \$3,230 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

 $^{^{\}star\star}$ - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

| Participating Jurisdiction (P. | J): Lansing | | | | MI | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects) | PJ: State:* National:** | F | \$67,546 \$83,925 \$103,194 | \$61,047 \$74,767 \$78,960 | | \$32,177 \$19,640 \$24,147 | CHDO Operating (% of allo | ocation) | PJ: Nationa | | 5.0 % 1.2 % |
| RACE: White: Black/African American: Asian: American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islando American Indian/Alaska Native Asian and White: Black/African American and W American Indian/Alaska Native Other Multi Racial: Asian/Pacific Islander: | er: [and White: [white: [| Rental % 42.9 46.6 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.6 | Homebuyer % 54.9 28.5 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.6 0.2 1.4 0.6 | Homeowner % 63.5 30.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | TBRA % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 | HOUSEHOL Single/Non Elderly: Related/Sir Related/Tw Other: | -Elderly: ngle Parent: | Rental Ho % 46.6 2.8 39.7 5.7 5.3 | mebuyer % 39.2 2.4 30.3 20.2 7.7 | Homeowner % 36.5 18.0 26.5 14.0 5.0 | TBRA % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 |
| Hispanic | | 8.1 | 12.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | L | 0.1 | 12.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | SUPPLEME | NTAL RENTAL A | SSISTANCE | : | | |
| 1 Person: | | 44.5 | 35.8 | 42.5 | 0.0 | Section 8: | | 16.6 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 Persons: | | 26.7 | 23.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBR | RA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | | 14.6 | 18.2 | 11.5 | 0.0 | Other: | | 6.9 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | | 8.5 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 0.0 | No Assistar | nce: | 76.5 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | | 2.0 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | # of Section | n 504 Compliant l | Jnits / Comp | leted Uni | ts Since 200 | 1 0 |
| * The State average includes all ** The National average includes # Section 8 vouchers can be used | all local and Sta | te PJs, ai | nd Insular Areas | | | | | | | | |

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 2

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Lansing State: MI Group Rank: 85

State Rank: 3 / 20 PJs (Percentile)

Overall Rank: 88 (Percentile)

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 85.50% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 60.40% | 91.23 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 87.95 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.15% | 98.8 | |
| "ALLOCATION-` | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.980 | 2.25 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2006 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.